



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,925	01/16/2004	Christian Knopfle	60,500-113	6539

27305 7590 10/01/2007  
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.  
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101  
39400 WOODWARD AVENUE  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151

EXAMINER
----------

SWIGER III, JAMES L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3733

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/01/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/758,925	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KNOPFLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James L. Swiger	<b>Art Unit</b> 3733	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James L. Swiger. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Preston Smirman. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Murray (US Patent 3,960,147) and Shluzas et al. (US Patent 7,004,947).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

EDWARD D. ROBERT  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 9/21/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representative for applicant requested clarification and discussion of proposed amendments to the claims. Examiner stated that the pressure-exerting jaw, as written in the claims is positively recited, and is considered an element in the device. This was noted as a "pressure point" in the OA dated 8/24/2007. A possible claim amendment was discussed, where the movement of the pressure-exerting jaw was further defined to move independently and transversely to the fixed jaw and peg members. Further amendments may require search and consideration, however, it was agreed that the amendments as discussed had overcome the prior art of record.